UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS LOS

10 6 1 0 RWZ

140

	- 10610 KW
ANTHONY F.,	TOUR LUOIU
BY AND THROUGH HIS NEXT FRIENDS,)
EDWARD F., AND LISA F.,	$\lambda \lambda $
PLAINTIFFS	MAGISTRATE JUDGE WY COM
) COMPLAINT
V.) RECEIPT # 59885
) AMOUNT SUCO
SCHOOL COMMITTEE OF THE CITY OF M	MEDFORD,) SUMMONS ISSUED 465
DEFENDANT) LOCAL RULE 4.1_
	WAIVER FORM
	MCF ISSUED ,
\underline{PA}	RTIES BY DPTY. CLK, LOM
1 777 1 1 1 100 1 1 1	DATE 3/29/04

- 1. The plaintiff, Anthony F., resides with his parents at 88 Dudley Street, Medford, Massachusetts.
- 2. Edward F., and Lisa F., (hereinafter "the Parents"), reside at 88 Dudley Street, Medford, Massachusetts.
- 3. The defendant, the School Committee of the City of Medford (hereinafter "School Committee"), consists of seven members who oversee the actions of the employees of the City of Medford School District.
- 4. Mayor Michael McGlynn serves as chairman of the School Committee, and for the purposes of the Massachusetts Tort Claims Act, M.G.L. c. 258 §1, et seq., acts as the executive officer.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction properly lies with United States District Court in that the matter involves a civil action under 20 U.S.C. §1415(i)(3).
- 6. The plaintiff is a resident of the Massachusetts and the defendant is a body politic of a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, giving this Court personal jurisdiction over both parties.
- 7. Venue is proper in the Eastern Division of the District of Massachusetts in that the plaintiff is a resident of Massachusetts and the defendant is a subdivision of a Massachusetts municipality.

8. On August 25, 2003, the Parents presented their claim for payment of attorney's fees to Mayor Michael McGlynn, as Chairman of the School Committee, in compliance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Tort Claim Act, M.G.L. c. 258, §4.

FACTS

- 9. Anthony has been determined to be a special education student, as defined by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 20 U.S.C. 1400, et seq.
- 10. The 2000-2001 Individualized Education Plan (hereinafter "IEP") required Medford to provide Anthony with SPED services to improve his reading and speech & language skills.
- 11. The 2000-2001 IEP expressly stated Anthony would receive both reading and speech & language instruction in an individualized setting.
- 12. During the 2000-2001 school year, Anthony attended St. Clement's parochial school in Somerville, Massachusetts, but received SPED services at Medford's Robert's Middle School.
- 13. The IEP the School District proposed for the 2001-2002 school year, Anthony's freshman year at Malden Catholic High School, did not specify when the instruction would occur, nor did it state that Anthony would receive individualized instruction.
- 14. Further, the School District changed the reading instruction from an individualized setting to a small group setting, without providing any justification for the reduction in services.
- 15. Mrs. F. rejected the IEP for the 2001-2002 school year on July 1, 2001.
- 16. As a result of Mrs. F.'s rejection of the 2001-2002 IEP, the dispute resulted in a action before Hearing Officer Sara Berman of the Bureau of Special Education Appeals (the "BSEA"), Case No. 02-1855 (the "first case").
- 17. In their written closing argument submitted after the close of a three day hearing, Anthony's parents contested three main aspects of the IEP.
- 18. First, the Parents believed Anthony was entitled to individualized reading services. The School District proposed small group reading instruction.
- 19. Second, the Parents wanted the SPED services provided at Malden Catholic High School, rather than at Medford public schools.
- 20. Third, the parents asserted Anthony was entitled to "compensatory services," to make up for the period of time that Anthony did not receive any services.

- 21. In July 2002, Medford drafted the IEP for the 2002-2003 school year, in which Medford again proposed small group reading services, with sessions scheduled at Medford High School.
- 22. On August 13, 2002, Mrs. F. rejected the IEP for the 2002-2003 school year.
- 23. On September 23, 2002, Mrs. F. filed a Request for Hearing with the BSEA, resulting in a second BSEA case. (BSEA Case No. 03-1017.)
- 24. On or around October 2, 2002, the School District agreed to an arrangement whereby the Parents pay Dr. Joseph Aurelia to provide speech & language SPED services at Malden Catholic High School, with subsequent reimbursement to the Parents from the School District.
- 25. On November 15, 2002, Hearing Officer Sara Berman issued her Decision in the first case, ordering the School District to provide compensatory services reading services.
- 26. In December, 2002, the School District and Anthony's parents agreed a reading instructor employed by the neighboring city of Malden would provide Anthony with SPED reading services, at any location agreeable to the Parents.
- 27. Similar to the arrangement with Dr. Aurelia, the Parents pay for the SPED reading services, with subsequent reimbursement from the School District.
- 28. Medford subsequently agreed to provide 30 sessions of compensatory reading services.
- 29. On July 24, 2003, the Parents filed a Request for Dismissal of the second BSEA case, having obtained all of the relief they sought in the action.

COUNT I

- 30. The plaintiff restates and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 29 above, as if set forth fully herein.
- 31. Anthony now receives SPED speech & language services, with the services provided by private instructors at his parochial school.
- 32. Anthony now receives SPED reading services provided by private instructors at his parochial school.
- 33. The School District agreed Anthony is entitled to 30 sessions of compensatory reading services.
- 34. This Court may award reasonable attorneys' fees as part of the costs to the parents of a child with a disability who is the prevailing party. 20 U.S.C. 1415(i)(3)(B).

- 35. The Parents prevailed on all three significant claims as a result the two BSEA cases.
- 36. Through the course of representation, the Parents' attorneys billed the Parents for approximately 230 hours, representing legal fees in excess of \$28,000.00 at the firm's usual and customary hourly rate.

WHEREFORE, the Anthony F., by and through Edward F. and Lisa F. as next friends of the plaintiff, demands an award of the reasonable cost of attorneys fees incurred in this action, as well as any other relief the Court deems appropriate and just.

CLAIM FOR TRIAL BY JURY

The plaintiff claims a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anthony F.,

By and through His Next Friend, Lisa F. and Edward F.,

By their Attorneys

John J. Geary,

B.B.O. No. 553879 Geary & Associates

161 Summer Street Kingston, MA 02364

Dated: Muli 26. 2004

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of o	Title of case (name of first party on each side only)								
	Anthony	F., by an	d through his next f	riends, Anthony F	F. and Lisa F. v. Scho	ool Com	mittee of t	the City o	of Medford	
2.	. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local 40.1(a)(1)).									
		i.	160, 410, 470, R.23	, REGARDLESS (OF NATURE OF SUIT	•			· a · = ·	· •
٠.	X	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*	441-444, 540, 550 , 830*, 840*, 850, 8	, 555, 625, 710, 720, 7 390, 892-894, 895, 956	730, 0. for pa	*Also atent, trad	complete emark o	e AO 120 or copyright	AO 121
	<u>-</u>	111.	110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.	151, 190, 210, 230	. 240, 245, 290 3 0	4	1	06		IN AA C
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,	460, 510, 530, 610 870, 871, 875, 900	, 620, 630, 640, 650, ₍	660,				
		٧.	150, 152, 153.							
3.	uistrict p	I number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.								
	Not App									
4.	Has a pri	or action	between the same p	arties and based	on the same claim e	ver b e ei YES	filed in t	his court NO	? ⊠	
5.	Does the §2403)	complair	nt in this case questi	on the constitution	enality of an act of co	ongress	affecting	the publi	c interest?	(See 28 USC
	If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?			YES		NO				
						YES		NO		
6.	Is this ca	se requir	ed to be heard and d	letermined by a d	istrict court of three	judges _l YES	oursuant (to title 28	USC §22847	?
7.	Do all of	the partie	s in this action eve	ludina aoyammo	ntal agonoine of the					_
	Massach	Do <u>all</u> of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).								
						YES	X	NO		
		A.	If yes, in which div	ision do <u>all</u> of the	non-governmental p	arties re	eside?			
	÷		Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division	
		B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?								
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division	
8.	If filing a submit a	Notice of separate	Removal - are there sheet identifying the	any motions pen motions)	ding in the state cou	ırt requi	ring the at	tention o	of this Court	? (If yes,
/DI 1	EACE TVE	E OB BDI	NIT)			YES		NO		
	EASE TYF TORNEY'S		John J. Geary,							
	DRESS			s. 161 Summer S	reet Kingeton 844	00064				
	ADDRESS <u>Geary & Associates, 161 Summer Street, Kingston, MA (</u> TELEPHONE NO. <u>781-585-0008</u>				UZ304					
		781-303-0008								

(Coversheetlocal[1].wpd - 10/17/02)

■JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	to the peripose of initiating the civil docker	DEPEND	1.44()-				
	F., by and through nemand Lisa F. Middlesex	kt friends, Sch	Nool Committee Aford()	e of the City of			
(b) County of Residence (E	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE IN E	idence of First Listed (IN)U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS AND CONDEMNATION CASES. ID INVOLVED.	SES ONLY) USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If	Known)				
161 Summe	ssociates r Street, Kingston, M	IA 02364					
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff			
	_	(For Diversity Cases Only)	,	and One Box for Defendant)			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	DEF I ncorporated of Business	r Principal Place 4 4 4			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business in Another State					
		Citizen or Subject of a [Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUI							
☐ 110 Insurance	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALT		OTHER STATUTES			
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of isdemted act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Vetemns) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assa ult, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 M otor V chicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETTI 441 Voring 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Weffare 444 Vother Civil Rights Action 440 Other Civil Rights PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person injury Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 380 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 372 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 373 Other Personal San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 374 Truth in Lending 375 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 375 Property Damage Product Liability 376 Other Personal San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 377 Truth in Lending 378 Other Personal San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 379 Other Personal San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Fraud San Other Personal San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Fraud San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Fraud San Other Personal Property Damage San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Fraud San Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 370 Other Fraud San Other Personal Property Damage San Other Personal Property Damage San Other Personal Property Damage 370 Other Fraud San Other Personal Property Damage 370 Other Personal Property Damage 370 Other Persona	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Propenty 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Sundards Act 720 Labor/M gmt. Relations 730 Labor/M gmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Railway Labor Act 770 Other Labor Ligation 790 Ot	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trad emark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 H IA (13 95ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIW (7DIW W (405 (g)) 864 SSID Tale VICTOR 1584 SSID Tale	400 State Reap portionment 410 Antitust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities. Exchange 875 Customer C hallenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural A cts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Albocation Act 900 App cal of Fee Determaction and Determaction and Determaction and State Statuses 950 C onstitutionality of State Statuses			
Original 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or 5 anothe Reopened 5 (specif	erred from r district y)	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Do not cité jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.	1					
The plaint	iffs seek to recover	attorneys fees	pursuant to	20 USC 1415(i)(3)			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.CP. 23 (See	N DEMANDS	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint:			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(S) instructions): JUDG E	TRIVET OF REAL	DOCKET NUMBER				
3/25/04	(//6//	W Clau					
RECEIPT # AN	AOUN APELYING IFP	JUDGE	MAC Woo				